

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/591,887  | 06/22/2007  | Richard Edmond Fletcher | CDM/8877,0001       | 6943             |  |
| 132 7590 0827/2009<br>CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL, LLP<br>601 SW Second Avenue<br>Suite 1600<br>PORTLAND, OR 97204-3157 |             |                         | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
|   |             |                         | WRIGHT, MADISON L   |                  |  |
|   |             |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                         | 3781                |                  |  |
|   |             |                         |                     |                  |  |
|   |             |                         | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                         | 08/27/2000          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/591,887 FLETCHER, RICHARD EDMOND Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Madison L. Wright 3781 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) No objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/591,887 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Drawings

 The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because:

they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

circumferential ribs 9 on page 6, line 19 and valve seat 11 on page 8, line 2; and
they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the

description: 5d in Fig. 1d and 15 in Fig. 1a.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

Claims 5-25 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form
because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent
claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-25 have not been further
treated on the merits.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/591,887

Art Unit: 3781

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the Endish lanquage.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S.
 Patent No. 6.990.994 B2 to Reeb et al. ("Reeb").

As to claim 1, Reeb teaches a fitting for attachment to a membrane, said fitting (valve 100) comprising a mounting adaptor (valve body 200) to be secured to said membrane (device D), a resiliently deformable part (second chamber 220) associated with said mounting adaptor and arranged to embrace and hold (Fig. 6) an initially separate insert (check valve 300), said resiliently deformable part being arranged such that when the mounting adaptor is secured to said membrane and exposed to a force exerted by fluid at one of two sides of the membrane (Fig. 4-5), the resiliently deformable part is urged into gripping engagement with the insert (col. 3, lines 43-48).

As to claim 2, Reeb teaches a fitting as claimed in claim 1 wherein the mounting adaptor is provided with a flange (base 230) for arranging and securing the fitting to the membrane (Fig. 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,887 Page 4

Art Unit: 3781

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reeb in view of U.S. Patent No. 5.351.711 to Peter ("Peter").

As to claim 3, Reeb teaches a fitting as claimed in claim 2, but does not teach wherein the flange is formed from a weldable material whereby it may be welded to the membrane of the structure.

Peter teaches a sealing valve with a welding rim for welding the inflation nozzle to the foil of an inflatable body.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the weldable material of Peter with the fitting as taught by Reeb to attach the nozzle to the body (Peter, col. 1, lines 8-12).

As to claim 4, Reeb modified by Peter teaches a fitting as claimed in claim 2 or claim 3 wherein the flange is provided with an annular channel. Peter teaches reinforcing rings 30 that have an increase in thickness then a sudden reduce to the original membrane thickness to define a kinked area 31, as seen in Fig. 9 (Peter, col. 6, lines 31-42).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,887 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

#### Conclusion

- 7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. Patent No. 5,121,840 to Schram discloses an inflatable body with a valve.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Madison L. Wright whose telephone number is 571-270-7427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anthony Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781

/M. L. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3781